

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. **Date of Submission:** 2010-03-10 08:57:00

2. **Agency:** 007

3. **Bureau:** 97

4. **Name of this Investment:** Virtual Interactive Processing System

5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier:** 007-97-01-03-01-3855-00

6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?:** Planning

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? ***

8. **Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.**

The Virtual Interactive Processing System (VIPS) will modernize and automate the Information Technology (IT) capabilities for qualifying Applicants into the Military Service during wartime, peacetime, and mobilization. VIPS will enable a responsive, flexible and efficient means to qualify Applicants to meet manpower resource requirements for the uniformed Services, Coast Guard, and National Guard routine and contingency operations. VIPS will be the future accessioning system to be used by the US Military Entrance Processing Command (USMEPCOM) which serves as the single entry point for determining the physical, aptitude, and conduct qualifications of candidates for enlistment. VIPS will provide the capability to electronically acquire, process, store, secure, and seamlessly share personnel data across the Accessions Community of Interest (ACOI). When fully implemented, VIPS will reduce the cycle time required to induct enlistees to meet the needs of Homeland Defense, reduce the number of visits to the Military Entrance Processing Stations (MEPS), reduce manual data entry errors, and reduce attrition through better pre-screening practices. The implementation of a Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) approach will enable accession data to be securely available to applicants and ACOI partners such as Recruiting and Training Commands, Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), Military Health System, Human Resource Management (HRM), and Defense Travel Management Office (DTMO). VIPS will support compliance with Department of Defense (DoD) direction for a net-centric environment and take advantage of automated data capture technology, e.g., medical equipment with the capability to capture and electronically transmit exam results. The accessioning system of the future will be location independent, virtually paper-free, and automated to assist with bringing the right people at the right time to operational commanders. On November 1, 2008, the DoD Business Transformation Agency (BTA) assumed program lead. Funds transferred to BTA in the Fiscal Year 2010 (FY10) Program Objective Memorandum (POM). VIPS was previously reported in MEPCOM's Integrated Resource System (MIRS) OMB 300 submission. The VIPS Program has not yet been baselined.

- a. **Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned) alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.**

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? *

a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? *

10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

- **Name:** *
- **Phone Number:** *
- **Email:** *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

Financial management system name(s)	System acronym	Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number
*	*	*

a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *

- computer system security requirement;
- internal control system requirement;
- core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
- Federal accounting standard;
- U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
- this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
- Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY1 and earlier	PY 2009	CY 2010	BY 2011	BY+1 2012	BY+2 2013	BY+3 2014	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SUBTOTAL:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL(including FTE costs)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table

Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/Task Order	End date of Contract/Task Order	Total Value of Contract/Task Order (M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)
DAAB15-01-A-1023 DO004 - Program Management Support	Time & Materials	Y	2007-09-27	2007-09-27	2010-02-03	\$6.2	*	*	*	*	*
DACA42-03-D-0007 TO0063 - System Engineering Support	Time & Materials	Y	2008-07-01	2008-07-01	2010-03-31	\$0.4	*	*	*	*	*
NBCHC020003 - Test and Evaluation Support	Time & Materials	Y	2009-01-01	2009-01-01	2010-02-28	\$0.3	*	*	*	*	*

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

*

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a. If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2009	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	TBD
2009	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	TBD
2009	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	TBD
2009	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	This investment was included in the legacy system, MIRS submission in FY 2009.	TBD
2010	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Net-Ready: The capability, system, and/or service must support Net-Centric military operations. The capability, system, and/or service must be able to enter and be managed in the network, and exchange data in a secure manner to enhance the mission.	Baseline is based on legacy system, MIRS which is non compliant. Net-Centric compliance is DoD mandated and VIPS will comply. Net-Ready compliant: (0%) 1) Solution architecture compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture. 2) Compliant with Net-Centric Data and Net-Centric Services. 3) Compliant with GIG. 4) IA Requirements. 5) Supportability.	The system, and/or service supports (0%) of 1) Solution architecture compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture. 2) Compliant with Net-Centric Data and Net-Centric Services. 3) Compliant with GIG. 4) IA Requirements. 5) Supportability.	TBD
2010	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Data Quality: Compilation of quality of data elements.	62%	62% - System in the planning and development stage and measures are based on the legacy system; therefore, the system will not expect improvement until FY 2011.	TBD
2010	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Cycle Time: Average visits to a Military Entrance	2.6	2.6 - System in the planning and development	TBD

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				Processing Station.		stage and measures are based on the legacy system; therefore, the system will not expect improvement until FY 2011.	
2010	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	System Availability: Percentage of time system is available.	95%	95% - System in the planning and development stage and measures are based on the legacy system; therefore, the system will not expect improvement until FY 2011.	TBD
2011	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Net-Ready: The capability, system, and/or service must support Net-Centric military operations. The capability, system, and/or service must be able to enter and be managed in the network, and exchange data in a secure manner to enhance the mission.	The system, and/or service supports (0%) of 1) Solution architecture compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture. 2) Compliant with Net-Centric Data and Net-Centric Services. 3) Compliant with GIG. 4) IA Requirements. 5) Supportability	The system, and/or service supports (50%) of 1) Solution architecture compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture. 2) Compliant with Net-Centric Data and Net-Centric Services. 3) Compliant with GIG. 4) IA Requirements. 5) Supportability	TBD
2011	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Data Quality: Compilation of quality of data elements.	62%	71.25%	TBD
2011	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Cycle Time: Average visits to a Military Entrance Processing Station.	2.6	2.2	TBD
2011	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	System Availability: Percentage of time system is available.	95%	96%	TBD
2012	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Net-Ready: The capability, system, and/or service must support Net-Centric military operations. The	The system, and/or service supports (50%) of 1) Solution architecture compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture. 2)	The system, and/or service supports (80%) of 1) Solution architecture compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture. 2)	TBD

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				capability, system, and/or service must be able to enter and be managed in the network, and exchange data in a secure manner to enhance the mission.	Compliant with Net-Centric Data and Net-Centric Services. 3) Compliant with GIG. 4) IA Requirements. 5) Supportability	Compliant with Net-Centric Data and Net-Centric Services. 3) Compliant with GIG. 4) IA Requirements. 5) Supportability	
2012	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Data Quality: Compilation of quality of data elements.	71.25%	80.5%	TBD
2012	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Cycle Time: Average visits to a Military Entrance Processing Station.	2.2	2.0	TBD
2012	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	System Availability: Percentage of time system is available.	96%	97%	TBD
2013	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Net-Ready: The capability, system, and/or service must support Net-Centric military operations. The capability, system, and/or service must be able to enter and be managed in the network, and exchange data in a secure manner to enhance the mission	The system, and/or service supports (80%)of 1) Solution architecture compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture. 2) Compliant with Net-Centric Data and Net-Centric Services. 3) Compliant with GIG. 4) IA Requirements. 5) Supportability	The system, and/or service supports (100%)of 1) Solution architecture compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture. 2) Compliant with Net-Centric Data and Net-Centric Services. 3) Compliant with GIG. 4) IA Requirements. 5) Supportability	TBD
2013	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Data Quality: Compilation of quality of data elements.	80.5%	96%	TBD
2013	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	Cycle Time: Average visits to a Military Entrance Processing Station.	2.0	1.5	TBD
2013	Developing a 21st Century Total Force	*	*	System Availability: Percentage of time system is available.	97%	98%	TBD

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline

Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Final Request for Proposal (RFP) for the System Developer released to the Industry. Baseline has not been approved at this time.	\$0.3	\$0.1	2009-07-10	2009-07-10	2009-12-23	2010-04-20	100.00%	100.00%
Technology Development Phase (Milestone B) Approval - developing acquisition planning documentation and technical demonstrations to provide a road map of future system capabilities. Baseline has not been approved at this time.	\$7.0	\$4.0	2009-09-28	2009-09-28	2010-07-30		50.00%	50.00%
Initial Operating Capability (IOC) - IOC indicates that limited capabilities delivered to the user. Planned costs are based on approved budget. Baseline has not been approved at this time.	*	*	2010-12-30		2011-12-30		0.00%	0.00%
Full Operating Capability (FOC) - reach FOC and Operations and Sustainment. FOC indicates that all required capabilities have been	*	*	2012-12-31		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
delivered to the user. Planned costs are based on approved budget. Baseline has not been approved at this time.								

* - Indicates data is redacted.